

## Lec 4

Tuesday, September 10, 2019 10:59

Recap:

let's pick the best linear model

$$f \in \{f_{\beta} : \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$$

$$f_{\beta}(x) = \beta^T x$$

best in terms of minimal avg  
squared errors

$$\hat{R}_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i))^2$$

Last time:

$$\hat{R}_n(f_{\beta}) = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2$$

$$\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} -x_1- \\ \vdots \\ -x_n- \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X}\beta = \begin{pmatrix} f_{\beta}(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ f_{\beta}(x_n) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} \hat{R}_n(f_{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \partial \hat{R}_n(f_{\beta}) / \partial \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial \hat{R}_n(f_{\beta}) / \partial \beta_p \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{n} \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$$

$\hat{R}_n(f_{\beta})$  is differentiable & convex in  $\beta$

so minimizers = critical pts

Want to solve

$$\frac{2}{n} X^T (Y - X \hat{\beta}) = 0 \quad \text{for } \hat{\beta}$$

$$X^T Y = X^T X \hat{\beta}$$

$$\text{Get: } \hat{\beta} = \underbrace{(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y}$$

called the (left) pseudoinverse of  $X$

Wanted to solve  $Y \approx X \beta$

can't solve exactly  
pseudoinverse gives  
the closest-possible soln

$\hat{\beta}$  is called OLS  
ordinary least squares

Conditional Expectation is the Best  
Regression Model

KNN, OLS are some regr models

Which is the BEST?

should minimize

$$R(f) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \ell(Y, f(X)) \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} X, Y \text{ v.v.s representing new unseen} \\ \text{random examples} \end{array}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ (Y - f(X))^2 \right]$$

Preliminary warm up:

Given a v.v.  $Y$ , which  $c \in \mathbb{R}$

minimizes  $\mathbb{E}[(Y - c)^2]$ ?  $c = \mathbb{E}[Y]$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial c} \mathbb{E}[(Y - c)^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial c} (c - Y)^2 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \mathbb{E}[2(c - Y)] \\
 &= 2c - 2\mathbb{E}[Y] = 0 \Rightarrow c = \mathbb{E}[Y]
 \end{aligned}$$

In words:

The mean (avg) is the single no. that is simultaneously closest to all vals of a random variable in avg squared dist.

Now consider minimizing

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(f) &= \mathbb{E}[(Y - f(x))^2] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(Y - f(x))^2 | X]]
 \end{aligned}$$

expectation over Y drawn from  $Y|X$

What should  $f(x)$  be?

$$f^*(x) = \mathbb{E}[Y | X = x]$$

the optimal prediction

OLS regression estimating the conditional mean in some sense:

- we're replacing  $\mathbb{E}$  with empirical avg
- we're restricting to linear models

Recap: Conditional means, modes, probs are the targets of supervised learning

## Linear Model for Classification

### Log Odds

Focus for now on binary classification

$$c = 50.17$$

Recall, Bayes classifier declare  $\hat{Y} = 1$

When  $P(Y=1|X=x) > P(Y=0|X=x)$

Same as

$$\text{O.R.} = \frac{P(Y=1|X=x)}{P(Y=0|X=x)} > 1$$

$$\frac{P(Y=1|X=x)}{1 - P(Y=1|X=x)} \in [0, \infty]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{ odds: } \log(\text{O.R.}) &= \log\left(\frac{P(Y=1|X=x)}{P(Y=0|X=x)}\right) \in [-\infty, \infty) \\ &= \text{logit}(P(Y=1|X=x)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $p \in [0, 1]$

$$\text{logit}(p) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \log\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{p}-1}\right) = \log p - \log(1-p)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{logit: } [0, 1] & \rightarrow & [-\infty, \infty) \\ \underbrace{\hspace{2cm}} & & \underbrace{\hspace{2cm}} \\ \text{domain} & & \text{co-domain} \end{array}$$

$\text{logit}(P(Y=1|X=x))$  is score for declaring  $\hat{Y} = 1$

that's symmetric & takes vals in  $[-\infty, \infty]$

→ When it's positive ⇒ declare  $\hat{Y} = 1$

→ — / — neg ⇒ declare  $\hat{Y} = 0$

Logistic regression

$$\text{Posit } \text{logit } P(Y=1|X=x) = \beta^T x$$

$$P(Y=1|X=x) = \frac{e^{\beta^T x}}{1 + e^{\beta^T x}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \sigma^{-1}(x) &= \text{logit}(\beta^T x) \\ &= \sigma(\beta^T x) \end{aligned}$$

Logistic sigmoid fn

$$\sigma(z) = \text{logit}^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} = \frac{e^z}{1+e^z}$$

$\sigma$  gives us a way to transform a score into a prob

$$\sigma: \underbrace{[-\infty, \infty]}_{\text{domain}} \rightarrow \underbrace{[0, 1]}_{\text{co-domain}}$$

$$\sigma(0) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\sigma(\infty) = 1$$

$$\sigma(-\infty) = 0$$

$$\sigma(-z) = 1 - \sigma(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \sigma(z) &= \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}\right) \\ &= \sigma(z) \cdot (1 - \sigma(z)) = \sigma(z) \cdot \sigma(-z) \end{aligned}$$

## Fitting Logistic regression: Maximum Likelihood

Logistic regression provides a generative model for the data

-i.e., a model that specifies how (probabilistically) the data was generated

Given  $x=x$ , logistic regression says

$$Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\sigma(\beta_0^T x))$$

for some coe rsal  $\beta$

What is  $\beta_0$ , or what is  
makes the data look the  
most likely under our generative  
model.